FEDERATION OF DELAWARE TEACHERS - 762 October 29, 1964

THE NEW ACTION PROGRAM OF THE AFT By

CHARLES COGEN
President
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF TEACHERS

Education in America today is, at best, standing still. We in the American Federation of Teachers want to start it moving again, and we have an action program to accomplish this goal.

It is my intent to examine with you the priorities and needs facing American education today; to explain to you the professional action program of the American Federation of Teachers which is designed to help meet these priorities and fulfill these needs; and to explore with you the larger social movements of our age which will, we believe, invigorate and reinforce the AFT program.

All of this is geared toward a two fold program of militancy and dynamism on the one hand and a higher degree of professionalism on the other.

Although my subject is national in scope, it is my hope that the officers and members in the audience will recognize that the Federation of Delaware Teachers, #762, has an ongoing program that is a particular and important element in the national program. What we are attempting to credate is a national movement based upon state and local action; therefore, you will agree with me that the veteran leader, dedicated activist, and the enthusiastic new member must provide the leadership, imagination, legwork and spirit to make this movement a success.

Nowhere is the spirit of the AFT more apparent than in Delaware. Your president, John Oborykhko, Jr., and your many officers and members have built a rock-ribbed state-wide lbcal that fights for the classroom teacher, whether the issue be professional status for the Negro teacher; enactment of teacher tenure, sick leave, and duty free lunch; or defense of teacher rights, as exemplified by the James V. O'Brien case.

As I list the ailments afflicting American education, you may thank the Federation of Delaware Teachers that they are not chronic in Delaware.

In affluent America, there are 83,000 full-time classroom teachers now teaching who have not met the regular state certification requirements. On the average, these teachers do not have the professional preparation necessary to teach effectively. We are still minus 125,835 classrooms in the United States. Children stand in many classrooms or double up until the occupants of seats have been wedged in elsewhere, become habitually truant or just drop out.

The national beginning salary for teachers with a bachelors degree is \$4.536 which is well below the official standard of living labelled "modest but adequate" for a family of four. Since this figure is an average it conceals more than it reveals. The hard working teachers in the six lowest paying states start teaching at salaries ranging from \$3.897 in West Virginia down to \$3.323 in South Carolina. Parenthetically these six states, all southern, lead the nation in non-union education association membership.

These same teachers can look forward, in many cases, to working year after year with piecemeal salary increments stretched out over thirty five years. Thus, throughout our nation, teachers nearing retirement will be earning a little more than \$6,500 if their state is near the national average but substantially less than \$5,000 if they are, again, in the six lowest paying states. If they have been able to spare the money and energy to earn an advanced degree, they can earn a little extra, but it will still be less than the maximum anticipated by accountants.

less than half of what can be expected by an engineer, and less than one third expected by the doctor. Nor do I need to remind you that the truck driver, deserving as he may be, is still parlaying his education into wages far above that of the average college graudate in the field of education.

To earn these inadequate salaries, our teachers work long hours both in school and in lesson planning and marking papers after school, as well as in extra curricular activities, in-service training, and summer courses. Nor are they free from insecurity: only twenty states have some type of tenure and three of these provide for no court appeal, while in the other thirty states, teachers may be fired almost at will. Upon retirement also, teachers can look forward to woefully inadequate pensions, in most cases less than one hundred dollars a month.

Our educational parsimony has hurt not only teachers but school children. Our curriculum is so obsolete, our classrooms so unattractive, and our job counselling so inadequate that thirty per cent of those who enter high school drop out. There are more than 750,000 youngsters in the 14 to 24 age group who are out of school and out of work. This is the raw material of the delinquency of our day. This is the parade of youngsters who, when they were called for the armed services, found as often as not that they were not fit. Moreover, one fourth could not pass the mental examination, primarily because they lacked even the most basic of educational tools. The startling failure of our youngsters

to pass the selective service mental examination is even more damning when viewed state by state. In contrast to several states where fewer than five per cent of the draftees failed the mental test, seven states report over 30 per cent, two states report over 40 per cent, and two other states, Mississippi and South Carolina, report that over 50 per cent of their draftees flunked this test. These failures whether in urban Philadelphia, rural Mississippi, or the incipient suburban slums, are the "social dynamite" of America.

Even under relatively favorable teaching conditions, undisciplined students often vent their frustrations upon overburdened teachers. Attacks on teachers are on the increase, and insults are becoming commonplace. Children require extra services, such as psychological, to supplement the work of the teacher in the classroom.

These, then, are the dimensions of the educational dilemma in the United States. Now we must work toward their solution. We in the American Federation of Teachers have designed a bold, startling and radical program to meet these problems. Our program is bold because it asks teachers to act; startling because it seeks to upset rather than contribute to educational sterotypes, and radical because it will not accept "no" or "go slow" for an answer. The crisis is too deep and complex.

The action program of the AFT begins and ends with the idea that unified teachers can act with responsibility and power where individual teachers are

helpless. To achieve unity, teachers need to join together. This means a union.

Teachers may "associate" all they want, but if they are not willing to "unite"

under labor union principles then the efforts at collective action will disintegrate

upon the first frown of the superintendent, (school board president) (president

of the tax payers association).

Remember that the Craftsmen of the nineteenth century, the industrial workers of the nineteen thirties, and the public and professional employees of today have banded together in unions to further their self-interests. In so doing, they have improved the stability, morale, and attractiveness of their occupation. In the same way, we too can improve not only teacher salaries and conditions but also the prestige and morale of the profession.

To that end, we are giving first priority to organizing. Our membership was 100,109 strong as of May 31, 1964. We fully expect to double that by the time today's first graders are third graders and quadruple it by the time they have entered the six grade. We have adopted a new, modern, cooperative organizational program that relies first upon the local volunteer member, then the local and state leader, and, finally, the technical and inspirational abilities of the national representatives. Where we find locals with big plans and little cash, we shall help them finance their expectations. Where we find timid locals with large treasuries, and there are fewer and fewer of these, we will move rapidly to instill confidence, enlarge their vision, and reduce the reasons for inertia, but,

throughout, we will never dictate to anyone at any time. Where we find state federations or area groupings of locals ready and willing to actively represent all the teachers within their jurisdiction, we will give them self-liquidating funds on a matching basis so that they can bring their militant program to all the teachers. This is a cooperative venture involving every level of our union and our supporters in the AFL-CIO as well.

We will organize. We will organize teachers in the cities, the suburbs, the towns, and the rural areas. We will organize wherever there are leaders willing to lead and teachers with basic needs. Because today's teachers need effective representation, we must organize. Because our program has met with tremendous success wherever it has been introduced, we know we can organize. Because children cannot wait; because a million and a half teachers cannot wait - we will organize: NOW.

The heart of our program is collective bargaining. Collective bargaining brings teachers from the back of the school board meeting room to an equal place at the bargaining table. Collective bargaining means that teachers work with as well as for their school boards. Furthermore, bargaining between organized employees and their employers does away with dependency, paternalism, and condescension. In their place we have self esteem, pride and respect. When school administrators and the community at large learn to respect the collective will of teachers, much

will have been done to improve respect by students as well.

My first experience with collective bargaining occurred in New York
City. There, as a result of a free, democratic election, the United Federation
of Teachers. Local #2 of the American Federation of Teachers won collective
bargaining rights for over 45,000 teachers. Since that time, approximately
three years ago, we have negotiated salary increases of over 1,575 dollars for
the average teacher and, more important still, we have lowered class size,
freed teachers from clarical and policing chores, added more than one hundred
new benefits and increased professional incentives and opportunities to do a
more satisfying job.

Yet the UFT was not alone. <u>Before</u> our enlightened era of collective bargaining in New York City, other AFT locals, on a scale conforming to the size of their school district, had gained exclusive bargaining rights. Proviso, Anaconda, Eau Claire, and East St. Louis are names that ring of bargaining election victories and successful salary settlements to everyone conversant with past and present events in the AFT.

Since the beginning of the new era in New York City, many, many fine AFT locals have also won bargaining rights, brining the AFT total to nearly fifty locals. But we have much to learn about the collective bargaining process. We have too long looked to politicians as our saviors, and too often neglected our own strength. The strength which comes from union solidarity and determination. Teachers do not know what every carpenter, auto worker or hod carrier knows. We do not

yet know all that should be in a union collective bargaining agreement, nor how to negotiate one.

Teachers must go back to school and take a few lessons from the rest of organized labor.

tearn we must, and learn we shall.

Then, as we learn, we will extend the area of collective bargaining by pressing for free democratic elections to determine an exclusive bargaining agent for all teachers in each district, thereby fixing responsibility and avoiding the internecine warfare that comes with proportional representation, joint bargaining, or multiple bargaining; we shall maintain the initiative in the election campaign by turning our demand for collective bargaining into a victory at the polls, and when we have achieved collective bargaining rights, we will win increased benefits through vigorous negotiations in such an exemplary manner that the results, embodied in a contract, will be emulated in every school district of this nation.

The national office of the AFT pledges itself to provide the tested techniques, the legal arguments, the election strategy, and the negotiating savvy to any and all AFT locals with the potentiality and leadership to mount a collective bargaining campaign. We will help our locals through matching funds to hire local activists to work full time. We will disseminate educational materials on collective bargaining, attractive and imformative leaflets to the community at large, and

detailed suggested techniques for our local leadership. Finally, we will when necessary, station our growing corps of talented national representatives in each of those key locals or areas across the country which is on the brink of a collective bargaining breakthrough. The AFT will provide leadership in collective bargaining.

Yet we cannot rest with such a program of direction and mementum, for we know that what is won at the bargaining table can be lost in the legislature.

Moreover, the ability to provide professional rewards is not always, or even usually, solely a factor of local taxes. Thus state and federal legislation is needed to protect and extend the benefits won locally through collective bargaining.

Accordingly, we aim to strengthen every state federation of teachers, first by organizing more and more teachers everywhere through the cooperative organization program, then by hammering our advanced, modern bills that will pledge the top priority of every state legislature to classrooms rather than highways, teachers rather than liquor agents, and children rather than cement contractors. While our sponsorship of tenure, pension, and equalization bills has been initially successful, I know that educational legislation at the state level has just begun. We will be ready.

At the same time, national legislation in support of public schools must be enacted if we wish to transform our educational dilemma into educational opportunities everywhere and, even more importantly, to increase dramatically our educational commitment in our pockets of poverty. We in the AFT must fight for federal legislation to assist local boards of education and state legislators in the attempt to provide quality education for all. To that end, we have laid the foundation for a massive campaign to win "federal support for communitybased schools." Already the AFT Executive Council Legislative Committee has met with Carl Megel, our Legislative Representative, to formulate a step-by-step program. Our local presidents have already received a letter on this. Through the AFT, therefore, each of us must rally to the cause of federally augmenting and strengthening the financial foundation now only inadequately supporting our public education system.

The necessity for state and federal legislation brings us to the fourth part of our action program - political activity. Teachers need more than just good legislative intentions, they need to use their votes so as to give them political power.

Our legislative program will be enacted, our gains protected, and our ultimate

financial base for collective bargaining expanded only when we have the votes necessary to make legislators jump. We must unbutton our starched collars, drop our "holier-than-thou" attitude, and take the plunge into grassroots political action.

Groundless fears by the community at large (or even by timid teachers)
that those who teach should not politic must be dispelled. Politics is the American
system of government. Confused thinkers insist, on the one hand, that teachers

these same professionals will be unable to refrain from introducing their political convictions into the classroom. You cannot have it both ways. The teacher is a professional and fully capable of separating his political life from his classroom life. We in the American Federation of Teachers believe that it is not politics entering the classroom but teachers entering the community that strikes fear in the hearts of the local educational watchdogs.

Political power is one of the many reasons why the AFT is affiliated with the AFL-CIO. Through its political action arm, COPE, our members are given the opportunity voluntarily to register voters, screen candidates, and campaign vigorously - all for the platform and candidates of our choice. In return, we have the legislative force of 13,000,000 Americans behind our progressive educational legislation.

Our participation as educators in politics is of signal importance this year because Senator Goldwater could come to power, and even more dangerously, whether he wins or not, he has given an aura of respectability to the frightful fanatics who flit frantically around his lantern. Barry Goldwater and his supporters lose all who support the free public school system when he makes such statements as the following:

We are told... that many people lack skill and cannot find jobs because they did not have an education.

That's like saying that people have big feet because they wear big shoes. The fact is that most people who have no skill, have no education for the same

reason - low intelligence or low ambition. (N.Y. Times 1/15/64)

Apparently this Arizona senator has never heard of children dropping out of school to help support their families or because school has no meaning for them or because society itself has failed them. But the Senator continues to rant. He states:

If we get back to readin', writin', and 'rithmetic, and an occasional little whack where it will help, then I think our educational system will take care of itself. (Quoted by Richard Rovere in the New Yorker, November 2, 1963)

Nothing could be worse for children, teachers, or the learning environment itself, than the free reign of the anti-intellectualism and confused thinking which underlies Goldwaterism.

In reaction to these extremist ideas and many more, I took the initiative to organize my fellow teachers (both within and without the AFT) into the National Educators Committee to Oppose Goldwaterism. We are notifying this nation that there are many active political protagonists in the field of education who stand firmly opposed to the extreme irresponsibility of Goldwaterism. (I would like you to join with me on this committee. You can do so by merely sending your name and two hard earned dollars to the National Educators Committee Opposed to

Goldwaterism in care of Charles Cogen, 300 Park Avenue South, New York, N.Y.)

The anti-Goldwaterism committee is an expedient and very necessary manifestation of the union teacher's involvement in political action. It demonstrates, I believe, that in politics, as well as in the other parts of our program, we are flexible, dynamic, and militant in a way that brings respect to the modern profession of teaching.

Thus these four thrusts - organization, collective bargaining, legislation,

and politics - give direction and discipline to the propulsive AFT program. We expect to bring about a dynamic, nationwide movement that will transform American education - to the delight of all long-suffering teachers and the despair of the entrenched autocrats who now maintain their suzerainty over the educational establishment.

The militancy and dynamism which our program requires is paralleled by a higher degree of professionalism it imparts.

The paid staffers of the education associations are fond of pitting "unionism" against "professionalism." This is an impossible contest. If one defines professionalism in a meaningful way as involving "control over one's working life," then unionism, far from being antithetical to professionalism, is its source of greatest strength.

This is true for a number of reasons. The absolute authority of the administrator within the school system not only deprives the teacher of professional control over the terms and conditions of his work, but inculcates a feeling of fear.

The existence of the union checks the abuses of this authority and restores teacher confidence. The teacher is thus more reliant upon his own professional skills and conscious of his dignity. In addition, he is more involved in his profession and responsible to a public which he is now able to serve with imagination and creativity.

In still another way, unionism heightens the degree of professionalism attainable. Through collective bargaining, teachers can negotiate items which increase the opportunity to teach. For instance, smaller class size reduces the amount of record keeping and paper marking while it frees time for individual student consultation and direction - both during class and after. Unassigned preparation periods grant breathing space for the professional spirit to flourish; during these periods, projects can be initiated and completed, leason plans refined, and a moment of rest enjoyed. Duty free lunch periods eliminate an element of great indignity and fatigue. Sabbatical leaves tap sources of inspiration unknown to the teacher who is tied to thirty-five or more straight years of teaching.

Professionalism through collective bargaining also affects the students. When, through collective bargaining, class size is reduced meaningfully, the child responds to a teacher who is personable and interested, not mechanical and detached. When reduction in class size is coupled with adequate preparation periods and relief from such non-teaching chores as clerical work, patrol duty, and playground supervision, the child's teachers are less beleaguered, more confident, and better prepared.

Children benefit directly from negotiated innovations such as the "effective schools" plan in New York City. Here the UFT and the Board of Education of the City of New York were able to establish a comprehensive plan designed to infuse the ghetto school with increased services - psychological, remedial, and social. Classes are smaller, textbooks and supplementary reading materials more available, teachers given more free time. As a consequence, slum and ghetto schools become more attractive, and resistance to pupil and faculty integration is overcome.

Thus professionalism for teachers is not an abstraction but a practical goal capable of being achieved by a union.

Because we believe unionism enhances professionalism, the AFT is establishing "Educational Councils" on issues of practical, professional substance. We will form "Educational Councils" on the following problems: teacher certification, effective schools, teacher-administrator relations, professional work loads, and organizational freedom. Each council will be composed of scholars sympathetic to unionism, community leaders, and rank and file union members. Each will study, publish material, and issue statements on its particular subject.

Other councils will be initiated as new issues emerge. We are limited only by the number of fundamental problems confronting American education and the availability of qualified volunteers. The response to our original call amongst AFT members has brought forth an enthusiastic response. Evidently our membership is aware of the relationship between hard-hitting unionism and professional control.

The AFT Educational Councils have historic significance for both educators and leaders of labor. Educators will have a source of applied research straight from the practical experiences of the classroom, while the labor movement will have an example of working professionals centering their research upon the opportunity to perform quality work. Those educationists now spoon-feeding nearly indigestible thesis topics and research projects to their students will be shocked by the lean, unsweetened facts presented by our councils.

Let us now relate the AFT program to the larger American scene. Our cry for quality education is clearly part of the emerging conscience of every thinking American. We cannot separate our demands from the other forward moving demands of our age. While we are organizing, other public and professional unions are enrolling thousands of white collar workers. While we are trying to improve the conditions of our work through collective bargaining, entire communities in our Appalachian region and elsewhere are wondering where the chance to work itself has gone.

while we fight for a distinguished place for education in our own national priorities, our fellow teachers in the peace corps are nurturing the embryonic native educational system of the emerging nations of this world.

No teacher who has seen emaciated, intent, poorly dressed children can be unresponsive to the President's "War on Poverty." Clearly the AFT member, regardless of how hard he is fighting for his own fulfillment through collective bargaining, cannot remain immune to the strivings of underfed and ill clad children.
The problem becomes one in which our legislative program properly becomes involved.

Nowhere is the cause of the AFT more effectively joined than in the area of civil rights. Our Negro students, so eager; our Negro teachers, so restricted; and our Negro adults, so often lacking in basic reading skills, are all testaments to the over-riding need to end discrimination here and now. Education can be improved immensely by the stirring, seeking and strivings of the Negro community. Negroes picketing your schools want their children in - in for better education, in for better jobs, and in for better citizenship - rather than out - out of the classroom, out of work and out of hope. The high regard given to education by the immigrant waves of fifty to one hundred years ago is being introduced once again by the American Negro.

Education in a modern world, where teachers are free to teach that peace is attainable, progress is possible, and individual achievement a reality, can become a liberated education, immeasurably rewarding to everyone involved. To American citizens who say that their children are uninterested in school, in the community, and in the world at large, I say to you, we must work together to make education as welcome as rock and roll and the newest TV thriller. Treat our teachers with respect. Make it possible for liberated men of imagination to make American education work and you will see a resurgence of faith in our

society, a society in which teachers and education receive their due status and respect.

This is the ultimate concern of the men and women of the American Federation of Teachers, and this is the practical aim of our new action program.